The Drinfeld double $gl(n) \oplus t_n$

A. Ballesteros[1], E. Celeghini[2] and M.A. del Olmo[3]

[1]*Departamento de Física, Universidad de Burgos,*
E-09006, Burgos, Spain.

[2]*Departimento di Fisica, Università di Firenze and INFN–Sezione di Firenze*
I50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Firenze, Italy

[3]*Departamento de Física Teórica, Universidad de Valladolid,*
E-47005, Valladolid, Spain.

e-mail: angelb@ubu.es, celeghini@fi.infn.it, olmo@fta.uva.es

February 7, 2008

arXiv:math-ph/0512035v1 12 Dec 2005

Abstract

The two isomorphic Borel subalgebras of $gl(n)$, realized on upper and lower triangular matrices, allow us to consider the $gl(n) \oplus t_n$ algebra as a self-dual Drinfeld double. Compatibility conditions impose the choice of an orthonormal basis in the Cartan subalgebra and fix the basis of $gl(n)$. A natural Lie bialgebra structure on $gl(n)$ is obtained, that offers a new perspective for its standard quantum deformation.

MSC: 81R50, 81R40, 17B37

Keywords: semisimple Lie algebras, Lie bialgebras, Manin triple, Drinfeld double, quantum algebras

1 Introduction

Drinfeld-Jimbo deformations of semisimple Lie algebras [1, 2] are closely related to quantum doubles [3], and their universal quantum R-matrices can be computed by making use of this property (see, for instance, Ref. [3]-[8]). For a complete discussion of the problem we refer to [8], where it is shown that $U_h(g)$ (where g is a finite dimensional complex semisimple Lie algebra) is 'almost' a quantum double. However, the positive and negative quantum Borel subalgebras have in common the Cartan subalgebra, and the underlying Drinfeld double (Manin triple) cannot be properly defined.

In this paper we propose a way to circumvent this problem by enlarging the Cartan subalgebra in such a way that two disjoint solvable algebras, isomorphic to Borel subalgebras, can be properly paired. Therefore, a Manin triple structure for the extended algebra $\bar{g} = g \oplus t$, where t is an abelian algebra, is explicitly constructed and analyzed.

As a first example of this approach, we consider the $gl(n)$ case. We introduce the extended algebra $\bar{g} = gl(n) \oplus t_n$, where t_n is an n-dimensional abelian algebra generated by $I_j, (j = 1, \ldots, n)$. Explicitly, we define the following new basis in the $2n$ dimensional abelian subalgebra of $\bar{g}$:

$$H_j^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(H_j + iI_j), \qquad H_j^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(H_j - iI_j) \tag{1}$$

Afterwards, we consider two disjoint solvable Lie algebras s_+ and s_-, that contain the H_j^+ and H_j^- generators, respectively, and that are isomorphic to the subalgebras defined by upper and lower triangular matrices of $gl(n)$. Then, the definition of the pairing is done in terms of the Killing form for the $gl(n)$ generators and it is generalized through an appropriate definition for the additional central generators. As a result the Manin triple [3, 7, 8] $(s_+, s_-, \bar{g})$ is obtained.

This result offers a way for the quantization of $gl(n) \oplus t_n$. From the underlying Lie bialgebra we can deduce that the direct sum structure is no longer preserved after deformation, since the extra abelian sector gives rise to a set of twists that will intertwine with the standard quantization of the $gl(n)$ subalgebra (results concerning the known $gl(n)$ quantizations can be found in Ref. [9]-[14]). Moreover, from $U_z(gl(n) \oplus t_n)$ one would be able to recover $U_z(sl(n))$ in the representation of t_n in which all I_i are equal. Note also that while this "central extension procedure" (1) can be only done on the complex, the final $U_z(sl(n))$ would be obtained on $\mathbb{R}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we recall the basic notation. Section 3 is devoted to the $gl(2)$ case, that is fully discussed. The generalization to $gl(n)$ is presented in section 4 and some comments and remarks are included in section 5.

2 Manin triples and Drinfeld doubles

In order to fix the notation, let us recall that a Manin triple is a set of three Lie algebras $(s_+, s_-, \bar{g})$ that can be constructed as follows.

Firstly, let us consider a pair of disjoint Lie algebras s_+ and s_- with the same dimension. Their commutation rules are given by the structure tensors f and c:

$$[Z_p, Z_q] = f^r_{p,q}\, Z_r, \qquad Z_p, Z_q \in s_+, \tag{2}$$

$$[z^p, z^q] = c^{p,q}_r\, z^r, \qquad z^p, z^q \in s_-. \tag{3}$$

Now let us define a pairing between them (i.e., a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on the vector space $s_+ \oplus s_-$ for which $s_\pm$ are isotropic)

$$\langle Z_p, Z_q\rangle = 0, \qquad \langle Z_p, z^q\rangle = \delta^q_p, \qquad \langle z^p, z^q\rangle = 0. \tag{4}$$

If the compatibility relations (crossed Jacobi identities)

$$c^{p,q}_r f^r_{s,t} = c^{p,r}_s f^q_{r,t} + c^{r,q}_s f^p_{r,t} + c^{p,r}_t f^q_{s,r} + c^{r,q}_t f^p_{s,r} \tag{5}$$

are fulfilled, we can construct a new Lie algebra $\bar{g}$ such that, as a vector space, $\bar{g} = s_+ \oplus s_-$ and such that the pairing is invariant under the adjoint representation of $\bar{g}$ (i.e., $\langle [a, b], c\rangle = -\langle a, [b, c]\rangle],\ \forall a, b, c \in \bar{g}$). The latter condition leads to the following crossed commutation rules between the elements of s_+ and s_-:

$$[z^p, Z_q] = f^p_{q,r} z^r - c^{p,r}_q Z_r. \tag{6}$$

The Lie algebra $\bar{g}$ is then called a Drinfeld double [3], and $(s_+, s_-, \bar{g})$ is called a Manin triple. Then $\bar{g}$ can be endowed with a (quasitriangular) Lie bialgebra structure $(\bar{g}, \delta_D)$

$$\delta_D(Z_p) = -\eta(Z_p) = -c^{q,r}_p\, Z_q \otimes Z_r, \qquad \delta_D(z^p) = \delta(z^p) = f^p_{q,r}\, z^q \otimes z^r. \tag{7}$$

This "double Lie bialgebra" has as Lie sub-bialgebras $(s_+, -\eta)$ and its dual (s_-, δ). Obviously, several Manin triple structures for a given $\bar{g}$ can be constructed (see, for instance, Ref. [15]-[18]).

Finally, we remark that (7) can be derived either from the classical r-matrix

$$r = \sum_p z^p \otimes Z_p,$$

or from its skew-symmetric counterpart

$$\tilde{r} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_p z^p \wedge Z_p\ . \tag{8}$$

3 The Drinfeld double $gl(2) \oplus t_2$

Let us start with the elementary example of $gl(2)$ by considering the solvable algebras $s^+ = \{Z_1, Z_2, Z_3\}$ and $s^- = \{z^1, z^2, z^3\}$ with commutation rules

$$[Z_1, Z_2] = 0, \qquad [Z_1, Z_3] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Z_3, \qquad [Z_2, Z_3] = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Z_3, \tag{9}$$

$$[z^1, z^2] = 0, \qquad [z^1, z^3] = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} z^3, \qquad [z^2, z^3] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} z^3. \tag{10}$$

The structure tensors for s_+, $f^p_{q,r}$ (2), and s_-, $c^{p,r}_q$ (3), are

$$f^3_{1,3} = -f^3_{3,1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad f^3_{2,3} = -f^3_{3,2} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad c^{p,q}_r = -f^r_{p,q}.$$

Now, let us consider the triple $(s_+, s_-, \bar{g} = s_+ + s_-)$ and a bilinear form on $\bar{g}$ defined through (4). Jacobi identities (5) are easily checked and the crossed commutation rules between s_+ and s_- are given by (6):

$$\begin{aligned} &[z^1, Z_3] = -[z^2, Z_3] = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Z_3, \\ &[z^3, Z_1] = -[z^3, Z_2] = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}} z^3, \\ &[z^3, Z_3] = -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(z^1 + Z_1) + \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(z^2 + Z_2). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Note that the $1/\sqrt{2}$ factor in (9) and (10) is essential in this construction. Since s_+ and s_- are isomorphic, we are dealing with a self-dual Manin triple. In other words, (s_+, η) is a Lie bialgebra with cocommutator

$$\eta(Z_p) = c^{q,r}_p Z_q \otimes Z_r.$$

Explicitly:

$$\eta(Z_1) = \eta(Z_2) = 0, \qquad \eta(Z_3) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Z_3 \wedge (Z_1 - Z_2).$$

Respectively, (s_-, δ) is the dual Lie bialgebra with cocommutator

$$\delta(z^p) = f^p_{q,r} z^q \otimes z^r,$$

which reads

$$\delta(z^1) = \delta(z^2) = 0, \qquad \delta(z^3) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} z^3 \wedge (z^1 - z^2).$$

Now, considering the change of basis

$$\begin{aligned} &H_1 = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Z_1 + z^1), \qquad && I_1 = \tfrac{1}{i\sqrt{2}}(Z_1 - z^1), \\ &H_2 = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Z_2 + z^2), \qquad && I_2 = \tfrac{1}{i\sqrt{2}}(Z_2 - z^2), \\ &F_{12} = Z_3, \qquad && F_{21} = z^3, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

and rewriting the relations (9), (10) and(11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &[I_i, \cdot] = 0, \qquad [H_1, H_2] = 0, \qquad [H_1, F_{12}] = F_{12}, \qquad [H_1, F_{21}] = -F_{21}, \\ &[H_2, F_{12}] = -F_{12}, \qquad [H_2, F_{21}] = F_{21}, \qquad [F_{12}, F_{21}] = H_1 - H_2, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

which are just the commutation rules for the Lie algebra $\bar{g} = gl(2) \oplus t_2$ in the usual basis $\{H_1, H_2, F_{12}, F_{21}\} \oplus \{I_1, I_2\}$.

Therefore, we have proven that the two solvable algebras s_+ and s_- together with the pairing (4) endow $\bar{g} = gl(2) \oplus t_2$ with a Drinfeld double structure. Note that s_+ and s_- have been chosen to be isomorphic to the upper and lower triangular 2×2 matrices of $gl(2)$, respectively.

Explicitly, the associated Lie bialgebra (7) is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta_D(I_i) = 0, \\
&\delta_D(H_i) = 0, \\
&\delta_D(F_{12}) = -\tfrac{1}{2} F_{12} \wedge (H_1 - H_2) - \tfrac{i}{2} F_{12} \wedge (I_1 - I_2), \\
&\delta_D(F_{21}) = -\tfrac{1}{2} F_{21} \wedge (H_1 - H_2) + \tfrac{i}{2} F_{21} \wedge (I_1 - I_2).
\end{aligned}$$

This cocommutator δ_D can be derived from the classical r-matrix (8) i.e.

$$\tilde{r} = \frac{1}{2} F_{21} \wedge F_{12} + \frac{i}{4} (H_1 \wedge I_1 + H_2 \wedge I_2) = \tilde{r}_s + \tilde{r}_t,$$

where $\tilde{r}_s$ generates the standard deformation of $gl(2)$ and $\tilde{r}_t$ denotes a twist, that becomes trivial in the representation of t_2 where $I_1 - I_2 = 0$.

It is worth to note that from (12) the pairing (4) is simply the Killing form relations for $gl(2)$ in the "oscillator representation" convention [19]

$$\langle H_i, F_{jk} \rangle = 0, \qquad \langle H_i, H_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \qquad \langle F_{ij}, F_{kl} \rangle = \delta_{jk}\, \delta_{il}$$

supplemented by a suitable definition of the pairing for the additional central generators

$$\langle I_i, I_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \qquad \langle I_i, H_j \rangle = 0, \qquad \langle I_i, F_{jk} \rangle = 0.$$

4 The $gl(n) \oplus t_n$ case

For the general case of $gl(n)$ the procedure is similar to the one followed in the preceding case of $gl(2)$. We consider two $n(n+1)/2$-dimensional solvable Lie algebras s_+ and s_-, isomorphic to the subalgebras defined by upper and lower triangular $n \times n$ matrices of $gl(n)$, with generators

$$\begin{aligned}
&s^+ : \ \{X_i, Y_{ij}\}, \qquad && i, j = 1, \ldots, n, \quad i < j, \\
&s^- : \ \{x^i, y^{ij}\}, \qquad && i, j = 1, \ldots, n, \quad i < j,
\end{aligned}$$

and commutation rules given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&[X_i, X_j] = 0, \qquad && [X_i, Y_{jk}] = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\delta_{ij} - \delta_{ik})\, Y_{jk}, \qquad && [Y_{ij}, Y_{kl}] = (\delta_{jk} Y_{il} - \delta_{il} Y_{kj}), \\
&[x^i, x^j] = 0, \qquad && [x^i, y^{jk}] = -\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\delta_{ij} - \delta_{ik})\, y^{jk}, \qquad && [y^{ij}, y^{kl}] = -(\delta_{jk} y^{il} - \delta_{il} y^{kj}).
\end{aligned}$$

Following (2) and (3), the corresponding structure tensors f and c read

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_{i,jk}^{lm} = -f_{jk,i}^{lm} = -c_{lm}^{i,jk} = c_{lm}^{jk,i} = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\delta_{ij} - \delta_{ik}) \delta_{jl} \delta_{km}, \\
&f_{ij,kl}^{mn} = -c_{mn}^{ij,kl} = \delta_{jk} \delta_{im} \delta_{ln} - \delta_{li} \delta_{km} \delta_{jn}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we assume that the two algebras are paired by

$$\langle x^i, X_j\rangle = \delta^i_j, \qquad \langle y^{ij}, Y_{kl}\rangle = \delta^i_k\delta^j_l, \tag{14}$$

we can define a bilinear form on the vector space $s_+ \oplus s_-$ in terms of (14) such that both $s_\pm$ are isotropic for it. Under these conditions we can consider the triple $(s_+, s_-, \bar{g} = s_+ + s_-)$. Indeed, by taking into account (6) we obtain the crossed commutation rules

$$\begin{aligned}
&[x^i, X_j] = 0, \qquad [x^i, Y_{jk}] \;=\; \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\delta_{ij} - \delta_{ik})\, Y_{jk}, \qquad [y^{ij}, X_k] \;=\; \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\delta_{ik} - \delta_{jk})\, y^{ij},\\
&[y^{ij}, Y_{kl}] = \left\{\delta_{ik}(Y_{jl} + y^{lj}) - \delta_{jl}(Y_{ki} + y^{ik})\right\} - \delta_{ki}\,\delta_{lj}\,(X_i + x^i - X_j - x^j),
\end{aligned}$$

where $Y_{ij} \equiv 0$ and $y^{ij} \equiv 0$ for $i > j$.

We can avoid to check the compatibility conditions (5) since $\bar{g}$ is a well known Lie algebra, that can be identified by considering the following change of basis

$$H_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(X_i + x^i), \qquad I_i = \frac{1}{i\sqrt{2}}(X_i - x^i), \qquad F_{ij} = Y_{ij} + y^{ji}.$$

The full set of commutation rules for $\bar{g}$ is:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[I_i, \cdot] = 0, \qquad [H_i, H_j] = 0, \qquad [H_i, F_{jk}] = (\delta_{ij} - \delta_{ik})F_{jk},\\
&[F_{ij}, F_{kl}] = (\delta_{jk}F_{il} - \delta_{il}F_{kj}) + \delta_{jk}\delta_{il}(H_i - H_j).
\end{aligned}$$

These relations are nothing but the commutation rules for $gl(n) \oplus t_n$, where $gl(n)$ is defined by the usual fundamental representation in terms of the $n \times n$ matrices H_i and F_{ij} $(i \neq j)$ defined as follows

$$(H_i)^{jk} = \delta^j_i\,\delta^k_i, \qquad (F_{ij})^{kl} = \delta^k_i\,\delta^l_j, \qquad i, j, k, l = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Thus, $(s_+, s_-, \bar{g} = gl(n) \oplus t_n)$ is a self-dual Manin triple.

The cocommutator δ_D (7) reads

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta_D(I_i) = 0,\\
&\delta_D(H_i) = 0,\\
&\delta_D(F_{ij}) = -\tfrac{1}{2}F_{ij} \wedge (H_i - H_j) - \tfrac{i}{2}F_{ij} \wedge (I_i - I_j) + \textstyle\sum_{k=i+1}^{j-1} F_{ik} \wedge F_{kj}, \qquad i < j,\\
&\delta_D(F_{ij}) = \tfrac{1}{2}F_{ij} \wedge (H_i - H_j) - \tfrac{i}{2}F_{ij} \wedge (I_i - I_j) - \textstyle\sum_{k=j+1}^{i-1} F_{ik} \wedge F_{kj}, \qquad i > j.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, (s_+, δ_D) and its dual (s_-, δ_D) are Lie sub-bialgebras. The classical r-matrix (8), in the basis $\{H_i, F_{ij}, I_i\}$, is written as

$$\tilde{r} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i<j} F_{ji} \wedge F_{ij} + \frac{i}{4}\sum_i H_i \wedge I_i = \tilde{r}_s + \tilde{r}_t.$$

Again $\tilde{r}_s$ generates the standard deformation of $gl(n)$ and $\tilde{r}_t$ is a twist (not of Reshetikhin type [11]). When all the I_i are equal the twist $\tilde{r}_t$ becomes trivial.

Note also that the chain $gl(m) \subset gl(m+1)$ is preserved at the level of Lie bialgebras.

5 Concluding remarks

We have introduced a Drinfeld double structure on the Lie algebra $\bar{g} = gl(n) \oplus t_n$, inspired by the Cartan-Dynkin approach for the classification of simple Lie algebras in which the (solvable) Borel subalgebras play an essential role. We briefly comment on some consequences of this construction both from a classical viewpoint and from the quantum deformation perspective.

Firstly, it is worth to emphasize that the choice of the basis in $\bar{g}$ is crucial because in order to have a Drinfeld double structure we need, up to a global factor, both an orthonormal basis in the Cartan subalgebra and a fixed normalization for all the remaining generators. In terms of the associated Killing form K, this choice implies that for the "extended" Cartan subalgebra $K_{mn} = \delta_{m,n}$ while $K_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha,-\beta}$ for the root vectors. This seems to be the natural choice and corresponds to the "oscillator representation" convention [19] (many others have been considered in the literature [20]).

Secondly, the results here presented can be thought of as a first step in order to approach quantum deformations of semisimple Lie algebras from a quantum double perspective. In particular, we have provided the first order information for the construction of $U_z(gl(n) \oplus t_n)$ and, as a byproduct, of the Drinfeld-Jimbo deformation $U_z(sl(n))$. Under this approach the essential task is to obtain the full quantization of a solvable Lie algebra in which the only deformed coproducts correspond to the nilpotent generators (one could follow Ref. [18] and [21]). In this way, Serre relations do not play any role since all the root vectors are considered on the same footing, and the quantization procedure is rather simplified by the self-dual nature of the underlying Lie bialgebra (and of the associated Poisson-Lie group).

By following the same lines, the discussion of the Drinfeld double structure for the B, C and D series of simple Lie algebras will be presented elsewhere.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia of Spain (Projects BMF2002-02000, FIS2005-02000 and FIS2004-07913), by the Junta de Castilla y León (Project VA013C05), and by INFN-CICyT (Italy-Spain).

References

[1] V.G. Drinfeld, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* **283** (1985), 1060

[2] M. Jimbo, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **10** (1985) 63

[3] V.G. Drinfeld, "Quantum Groups" in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, Berkeley, 1986, A.M. Gleason (ed.) (AMS, Providence, 1987)

[4] N. Burroughs, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **127** (1990) 109

[5] C. Fronsdal and A. Galindo, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **27** (1993) 59

[6] A.A. Vladimirov, *Mod. Phys. Lett A.* **8** (1993) 2573

[7] S. Majid, *Foundations of Quantum Group Theory* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995)

[8] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A Guide to Quantum Groups*, (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1994)

[9] A. Sudbery, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **23** (1990) L697

[10] A. Schirrmacher, *Z. Phys. C* **50** (1991) 321

[11] N. Yu Reshetikhin, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **20** (1990) 331

[12] S. Alisauskas and Yu F. Smirnov, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **27** (1994) 5925

[13] V.K. Dobrev and P. Parashar, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **26** (1993) 6991;
J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **32** (1999) 443

[14] C. Fronsdal and A. Galindo, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **34** (1995) 25

[15] A.A. Belavin and V.G. Drinfeld, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **16** (1983) 159

[16] X. Gomez, *J. Math. Phys.* **41** (2000) 4939

[17] L. Hlavaty and L. Snobl, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A17** (2002) 4043
L. Snobl, *J. High Energy Phys.* **9** (2002) Art. 018

[18] A. Ballesteros, E. Celeghini and M.A. del Olmo, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38** (2005) 3909

[19] L. Frappat, A. Sciarrino and P. Sorba, *Dictionary on Lie Algebras and Superalgebras* (Academic Press, London, 2000)

[20] J.F. Cornwell, *Group Theory in Physics*, (Academic Press, London 1984)

[21] A. Ballesteros, E. Celeghini and M.A. del Olmo, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **37** (2004) 1